

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11

12 DARRELL J. MOORE, SR.,) No. CV 11-3391-GW(CW)
13 Plaintiff,) ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND
14 v.) RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES
15 RUDOLF MONTIEL, et al.,) MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16 Defendants.)
17 _____)

18 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has reviewed the
19 entire record in this action, as well as the Report and Recommendation
20 of the United States Magistrate Judge. The court has engaged in a de
21 novo review of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to
22 which objection has been made. The court accepts the findings and
23 recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, with the following correction:
24 at P. 10, line 7, the heading should read, "COA 3 SHOULD BE DISMISSED
25 ON GROUNDS OF IMMUNITY."

26 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Defendants' motions to dismiss
27 (docket nos. 15, 19, and 22) filed May 31, 2011 be granted; that the
28 complaint be dismissed without leave to amend; and that judgment be

1 entered dismissing Plaintiff's state law claims in COA 1 without
2 prejudice and dismissing all other claims, with prejudice, for failure
3 to state a claim and, as to Defendant Judge Jones, on grounds of
4 immunity.

5
6 DATED: February 5, 2013



GEORGE H. WU
United States District Judge